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LIAISON NOTE - S-201 AtoN Information Product Specification – Draft 0.0.1 for Review

# INTRODUCTION

The ENAV Committee provided the subject document (ARM4 3.1.1) for review by the ARM Committee

# REVIEW

The ARM Committee considered the input documents from the ENAV Committee. The documents contain a lot of complex information (700+ pages) which ARM requires further time to properly consider. To this end, the ARM Committee will provide more considered comment to the ENAV Committee as an output from ARM5. The latest version of the draft (0.0.3) was obtained during the meeting and this will be the version that will be commented on and taken forward as an ARM working document unless a subsequent version is provided by the ENAV Committee.

ARM Committee considers S-201 a great step forward regarding possibilities to alter or optimize proper portrayal of all AtoN as the standard is not fixed, but open for future development. The document is not clear on how the portrayal of complex lights (like Port Entry Lights) will be coded.

The ARM Committee notes that the document contains a large range of data fields for capturing information on maintenance regimes (inspection frequency, inspection requirements, installation date, maintenance records, etc.). ARM considers that the document needs to clearly state which if any fields in the entire product specification are to be mandatory to avoid a Competent Authority unnecessarily consolidating and providing vast amounts of information for all the AtoN in its network.

Moreover, ARM considers that the vast amount of information contained within the S-201 PS, could actually be distracting to compilers of the information, vis-à-vis important information on portrayal being missed completely or miscoded whilst concentrating on the compilation of maintenance information. The ARM Committee noted that the other S-200 fields were available for IALA use within the IALA domain. ARM suggests that ENAV consider that another of these fields be made purely for maintenance of AtoN and thus separate AtoN portrayal and AtoN maintenance information.

# ACTION REQUESTED

The ENAV Committee is requested to:

1. Note the comments on the reviewing of the document and actions as appropriate.
2. Note that ARM will provide further comments as necessary following further review at ARM5.
3. Provide the most up to date version of the document as an input to ARM5.
4. Invite a representative to attend ARM5 (post joint ARM-ENAV workshop on AIS) to explain and present on S-201 coding.